HRI-RECAPP-2014-027

Associated production of Higgs boson with vector boson at threshold N^{3}LO in QCD

arXiv:1412.3357v1 [hep-ph] 10 Dec 2014

M. C. Kumar[a] **M. K. Mandal**[b] **V. Ravindran**[a]

[a] *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India*
[b] *Regional Centre for Accelerator-based Particle Physics, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India*

E-mail: mckumar@imsc.res.in, mandal@hri.res.in, ravindra@imsc.res.in

Abstract: We present the results for the associated production of Higgs boson with vector boson computed at threshold N^{3}LO in QCD. We use the recently available result on the threshold contributions to the inclusive Drell-Yan production cross-section at third order in strong coupling constant. We have implemented it in the publicly available computer package `vh@nnlo`, thereby obtaining the numerical impact of threshold N^{3}LO contributions for the first time. We find that the inclusion of such corrections do reduce theoretical uncertainties resulting from the renormalization scale.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Threshold Corrections Beyond NNLO **3**

3 Numerical Results **6**

4 Conclusion **8**

1 Introduction

Recently, LHC has discovered a particle with a mass at around 125 GeV [1, 2], whose production cross section and decay rates are compatible with those predicted for the Higgs boson of the Standard Model (SM). However, still we have to determine its quantum numbers (spin and CP properties), mass and the strength and nature of the couplings to other standard model particles accurately. The close inspection of the accumulating luminosity over a longer period will not only reveal the complete picture of the electroweak symmetry breaking sector but also any hint of new physics buried within the results. Any discrepancies in its measured cross section from QCD calculations may signal deviations of the SM predictions. It is thus important to provide a precise calculation of the production of the Higgs boson, with a reliable estimate of the theoretical error due to the missing higher order terms. In the SM, the Higgs boson is produced mainly through gluon fusion, whereas the alternative channels are associated production with vector bosons namely W/Z bosons, often called Higgs-Strahlung process, vector boson fusion processes, bottom quark annihilation etc. The Higgs bosons are produced primarily at the LHC via gluon gluon fusion through a top quark loop [3–11], which has been known up to next-to-next-to leading order (NNLO) in the literature for a long time. The sub-dominant channels for the production comprising of the vector boson fusion [12, 13] and associated production with vector bosons [14, 15] are also known up to NNLO accuracy in QCD. The bottom-antibottom ($b\bar{b}$) annihilated inclusive production for the Higgs boson is also available at NNLO accuracy considering five active flavours i.e. including the bottom quarks in the parton density function [16–21]. In the case of Higgs production, the scale dependence even at NNLO are not convincingly negligible due to potential missing higher terms. Hence there is a constant pursuit of increasing the accuracy of the results with the systematic inclusion of higher order terms in QCD and there are on going efforts to go beyond the existing NNLO level. The partial results on inclusive Higgs boson production and also DY production beyond NNLO [22–26] have been reported after taking into account the dominant effects of the soft gluon radiations from higher orders, using the available results of the quark and gluon form factors [27–31], the mass factorization kernels [32], the renormalization constant [33] for the

effective operator describing the coupling between the Higgs boson and the SM fields in the infinite top quark mass limit and the NNLO soft contributions [34] in d dimensions. The reported threshold corrections, which manifest them through the delta function and the plus distribution of the logarithms, was partial in the sense that the complete distribution in connection with the delta function was not available. Since then, several advances have happened [35–38] with the aim of obtaining the complete next-to-next-to-next-to leading order (N^3LO) result for the inclusive rate of the Higgs boson production. Recently, Anastasiou et al. [39] have obtained the delta function part of the threshold N^3LO contribution for the inclusive Higgs boson production through gluon fusion. This generated a plethora of results at threshold N^3LO in QCD. These include inclusive DY production [40,62], inclusive production of Higgs boson in bottom quark annihilation [41] and the general expression of the hard-virtual coefficient [42] combined with the threshold resummation at next-to-next-to-next-to-leading-logarithmic (N^3LL) accuracy for the production cross section of a colourless heavy particle at hadron colliders at threshold N^3LO. Apart from that, the rapidity distributions of the Higgs boson in the gluon fusion and bottom quark annihilation as well as the dileptons in DY have been reported to this accuracy in the threshold limit, see [43, 44]. In addition, progress in obtaining beyond the threshold corrections [45, 46] for the inclusive Higgs production at N^3LO is already underway. Recently, the full next to soft as well as the exact results for the coefficients of the first three leading logarithms at this order have been obtained for the first time in [47].

The Higgs-Strahlung process is one of the potential channels for the Higgs boson production at the LHC. The LO amplitude is an electroweak process and hence, the higher order QCD corrections enter only in the initial state comprising of a quark and an antiquark. This fact prompted this process to be represented in terms of the convolution of production of a virtual W or Z boson production (DY like) and decay rate of that virtual boson to a real vector boson and the Higgs boson, at every order in QCD. Therefore, the available higher order QCD corrections of DY like processes can be used to study the QCD effects in Higgs-Strahlung process. The QCD corrections to DY at next-to leading order (NLO) [48] as well as at NNLO [9,49] are known for long time and they have been already used in the Higgs-Strahlung process [14,15,50–56]. At NNLO, for the associated production of the Higgs boson with Z boson, there are additional corrections coming from the gluon fusion via a box diagram and also the quark antiquark initiated processes, where the Higgs boson is coupled to a top quark loop. These corrections have been obtained in [15,53,54]. The gluon induced production of the associated Higgs boson has also been reported at NLO [57] and the threshold resummation has been completed at NLL accuracy [58]. There are no such additional corrections in the case of W boson production with the Higgs boson as the final state not being a charge neutral. The `vh@nnlo` [59] program includes all these contributions separately for the Z and W boson production with the Higgs boson up to NNLO. The electroweak (EW) corrections reported in [60,61], have been also incorporated in this programme as a multiplicative factor based on the fact that the EW corrections for these processes do not depend on any of the QCD parameters. The NLO corrections have been found to enhance the total inclusive rate by 31% whereas the NNLO DY terms contribute towards additional 3% correction for the ZH production at LHC8. The numer-

ical values for the WH production are also very similar for the DY type corrections up to NNLO. The top loop effect can be counted for 1% correction for both the processes. The additional gluon initiated box diagrams generate 5% correction in case of the ZH production. These numerical values exhibit that the corrections at NNLO are small in size although it has been observed that scale dependence is reduced significantly at this level. However, the inclusion of higher order terms is important to assess the reliability of the purturbative calculations as well as to have a better understanding of the pattern of these corrections at higher orders.

The paper is organized as follows. In the Sec. 2 we present the results contributing at N^{3}LO in threshold limit. We then discuss the numerical impacts at LHC in Sec. 3. Finally, we conclude with our findings in Sec. 4.

2 Threshold Corrections Beyond NNLO

The inclusive production of Higgs boson in association with vector boson come from factorizable and non factorizable partonic subprocess. The factorizable ones can be written as convolution of the production of virtual vector boson and its decay to Higgs boson. They are often called DY type. The DY type contribution to the hadronic cross-section $P\left(p_1\right)+P\left(p_2\right) \rightarrow V\left(p_V\right)+H\left(p_H\right)$ can be expressed as

$$\sigma\left(S, M_V^2, M_H^2\right)=\int_{(M_H+M_V)^2}^{S} \mathrm{d}q^2 \sigma^{V^*}\left(q^2, S\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma\left(M_V^2, M_H^2, q^2\right)}{\mathrm{d}q^2} \tag{2.1}$$

where, p_1 and p_2 are the incoming hadronic momenta and S is the hadronic center of mass energy squared $\left(S \equiv (p_1+p_2)^2\right)$. The corresponding one for the incoming partons at the partonic level is given as $\hat{s}=(k_1+k_2)^2$. The momentum of the virtual gauge boson V^* is $q=(p_V+p_H)$. The parton level cross section for the production of virtual vector boson V^* which is of DY type is denoted by σ^{V^*} and $\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}$ is the decay rate of that virtual boson to a real vector boson and the Higgs boson and is given by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma\left(M_V^2, M_H^2, q^2\right)}{\mathrm{d}q^2}=\frac{G_F M_V^4}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(M_V^2, M_H^2; q^2)}{(q^2-M_V^2)^2}\left(1+\frac{\lambda(M_V^2, M_H^2; q^2)}{12 M_V^2/q^2}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

with, $\lambda\left(x, y; z\right)=\left(1-\frac{x}{z}-\frac{y}{z}\right)^2-4\frac{xy}{z^2}$, being the usual phase-space function for the two body final state. Now, the DY type production cross-section can be expressed as :

$$\sigma^{V^*}(q^2, S)=\frac{1}{S} \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \int_\tau^1 dz f_a\left(x_1, \mu_F^2\right) f_b\left(x_2, \mu_F^2\right) \Delta_{ab}^{V^*}\left(z, q^2, \mu_F^2\right) \delta\left(\tau-x_1 x_2 z\right) \tag{2.3}$$

The f_a and f_b are the parton density function renormalized at μ_F. We have defined $\Delta_{ab} \equiv \hat{s}\hat{\sigma}$ with $\tau=q^2/S$ and $z=q^2/\hat{s}$. This finite Δ_{ab} can be expanded in terms of the strong coupling constant as follows:

$$\Delta_{ab}\left(z, q^2, \mu_F^2\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(a_s\left(\mu_R^2\right)\right)^i \Delta_{ab}^{(i)}\left(z, q^2, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2\right), \tag{2.4}$$

where, $a_s\left(\mu_R^2\right) = \frac{g_s\left(\mu_R^2\right)^2}{16\pi^2}$.

Beyond LO (i.e. $i = 0$) the purturbative coefficients $\Delta_{ab}^{(i)}$ can be split into two parts.

$$\Delta_{ab}^{(i)}(z, q^2, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2) = \Delta_{ab}^{\text{hard},(i)}(z, q^2, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2) + \delta_{aq}\delta_{b\bar{q}}\Delta_{ab}^{\text{SV},(\text{i})}(z, q^2, \mu_F^2, \mu_R^2) \tag{2.5}$$

The hard part $\Delta_{ab}^{\text{hard},(i)}$ contains the regular terms in the variable z and the SV part $\Delta^{\text{SV},(i)}$ is simply proportional to $\delta(1-z)$ and $\mathcal{D}_k$ resulting from virtual and soft gluon radiations, that is

$$\Delta_{ab}^{\text{SV},(\text{i})}(z) = \Delta_{ab}^{\text{SV},(\text{i}),\delta}\delta(1-z) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Delta_{ab}^{\text{SV},(\text{i}),(\text{k})}\mathcal{D}_k \tag{2.6}$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_k = \left(\frac{ln^k(1-z)}{(1-z)}\right)_+ \tag{2.7}$$

As we have already discussed, the hard and soft parts of $\Delta_{ab}^{(i)}$ are known up to NNLO level in QCD. At N^{3}LO level, only $\Delta_{q\bar{q}}^{\text{SV},(3)}$ is known, see [40, 42, 62]. The computation of SV part of $\Delta_{q\bar{q}}^{(3)}$ in [40] uses the factorization property of the QCD amplitudes and the Sudakov resummation of soft gluons. At N^{3}LO level in QCD, SV part requires quark form factor as well as the diagonal terms of the mass factorization kernels up to three loop level and the contributions of soft gluon radiations in the single, double and triple gluon emission subprocesses to third order in strong coupling constant. While form factor and the kernels are available to desired accuracy for quite some time, the third order soft gluon effects from real emission subprocesses have been missing to get N^{3}LO results till recently. A spectacular achievement by Anastasiou et al. [39] in obtaining the third order soft gluon radiations in the inclusive Higgs production and better understanding of the soft gluon resummation paved the way to obtain several third order results as has been discussed earlier in the Sec. 1. Along this direction, the results of [40, 42, 62] can be used in Higgs-Strahlung processes to get an estimate of the effects from threshold N^{3}LO DY type of corrections as the threshold effects in DY production are found to be significant. Up to NNLO, DY type of corrections can be found in [9, 49] and the threshold N^{3}LO DY correction with $\mu_R = \mu_F = Q$ is given here for completeness:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{q\bar{q}}^{\text{SV},(3)} = \delta(1-z)\Bigg(& C_A^2 C_F\left(\frac{13264}{315}\,{\zeta_2}^3 + \frac{14611}{135}\,{\zeta_2}^2 - \frac{884}{3}\,\zeta_2\zeta_3 + 843\,\zeta_2 - \frac{400}{3}\,{\zeta_3}^2\right.\\
&\left. + \frac{82385}{81}\,\zeta_3 - 204\,\zeta_5 - \frac{1505881}{972}\right) + C_A C_F^2\left(-\frac{20816}{315}\,{\zeta_2}^3 - \frac{1664}{135}\,{\zeta_2}^2 + \frac{28736}{9}\,\zeta_2\zeta_3\right.\\
&\left. - \frac{13186}{27}\,\zeta_2 + \frac{3280}{3}\,{\zeta_3}^2 - \frac{20156}{9}\,\zeta_3 - \frac{39304}{9}\,\zeta_5 + \frac{74321}{36}\right) + C_A C_F n_f\left(-\frac{5756}{135}\,{\zeta_2}^2\right.\\
&\left. + \frac{208}{3}\,\zeta_2\zeta_3 - \frac{28132}{81}\,\zeta_2 - \frac{6016}{81}\,\zeta_3 - 8\,\zeta_5 + \frac{110651}{243}\right) + C_F^3\left(-\frac{184736}{315}\,{\zeta_2}^3 + \frac{412}{5}\,{\zeta_2}^2\right.\\
&\left. + 80\,\zeta_2\zeta_3 - \frac{130}{3}\,\zeta_2 + \frac{10336}{3}\,{\zeta_3}^2 - 460\,\zeta_3 + 1328\,\zeta_5 - \frac{5599}{6}\right) + C_F^2 n_f\left(\frac{272}{135}\,{\zeta_2}^2\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$
- \frac{5504}{9}\,\zeta_2\zeta_3 + \frac{2632}{27}\,\zeta_2 + \frac{3512}{9}\,\zeta_3 + \frac{5536}{9}\,\zeta_5 - \frac{421}{3}\Bigg) + C_F n_{f,v}\Big(\frac{N^2-4}{N}\Big)\Bigg(- \frac{4}{5}\,{\zeta_2}^2
$$

$$
+ 20\,\zeta_2 + \frac{28}{3}\,\zeta_3 - \frac{160}{3}\,\zeta_5 + 8\Bigg) + C_F n_f^2\,\Bigg(\frac{128}{27}\,{\zeta_2}^2 + \frac{2416}{81}\,\zeta_2 - \frac{1264}{81}\,\zeta_3 - \frac{7081}{243}\Bigg)\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A^2 C_F \mathcal{D}_0\Bigg(- \frac{2992}{15}\,{\zeta_2}^2 - \frac{352}{3}\,\zeta_2\zeta_3 + \frac{98224}{81}\,\zeta_2 + \frac{40144}{27}\,\zeta_3 - 384\,\zeta_5 - \frac{594058}{729}\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A^2 C_F \mathcal{D}_1\Bigg(\frac{704}{5}\,{\zeta_2}^2 - \frac{12032}{9}\,\zeta_2 - 704\,\zeta_3 + \frac{124024}{81}\Bigg) + C_A^2 C_F \mathcal{D}_2\Bigg(\frac{704}{3}\,\zeta_2 - \frac{28480}{27}\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A^2 C_F \mathcal{D}_3\Bigg(\frac{7744}{27}\Bigg) + C_A C_F^2 \mathcal{D}_0\Bigg(\frac{1408}{3}\,{\zeta_2}^2 - 1472\,\zeta_2\,\zeta_3 - \frac{12416}{27}\,\zeta_2 + \frac{26240}{9}\,\zeta_3
$$

$$
+ \frac{25856}{27}\Bigg) + C_A C_F^2 \mathcal{D}_1\Bigg(\frac{3648}{5}\,{\zeta_2}^2 - \frac{11648}{9}\,\zeta_2 - 5184\,\zeta_3 - \frac{35572}{9}\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A C_F^2 \mathcal{D}_2\Bigg(\frac{11264}{3}\,\zeta_2 + 1344\,\zeta_3 - \frac{4480}{9}\Bigg) + C_A C_F^2 \mathcal{D}_3\,\Bigg(\frac{17152}{9} - 512\,\zeta_2\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A C_F^2 \mathcal{D}_4\Bigg(- \frac{7040}{9}\Bigg) + C_A C_F n_f \mathcal{D}_0\,\Bigg(\frac{736}{15}\,{\zeta_2}^2 - \frac{29392}{81}\,\zeta_2 - \frac{2480}{9}\,\zeta_3 + \frac{125252}{729}\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A C_F n_f \mathcal{D}_1\Bigg(384\,\zeta_2 - \frac{32816}{81}\Bigg) + C_A C_F n_f \mathcal{D}_2\Bigg(\frac{9248}{27} - \frac{128}{3}\,\zeta_2\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_A C_F n_f \mathcal{D}_3\Bigg(- \frac{2816}{27}\Bigg) + C_F^3 \mathcal{D}_0\Bigg(- 6144\,\zeta_2\,\zeta_3 - 4096\,\zeta_3 + 12288\,\zeta_5\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_F^3 \mathcal{D}_1\Bigg(- \frac{14208}{5}\,{\zeta_2}^2 + 2976\,\zeta_2 - 960\,\zeta_3 + 2044\Bigg) + C_F^3 \mathcal{D}_2\Bigg(10240\,\zeta_3\Bigg)
$$

$$
+ C_F^3 \mathcal{D}_3\Bigg(- 3072\,\zeta_2 - 2048\Bigg) + C_F^3 \mathcal{D}_5\Bigg(512\Bigg) + C_F^2 n_f \mathcal{D}_0\Bigg(- \frac{1472}{15}\,{\zeta_2}^2 + \frac{1952}{27}\,\zeta_2
$$

$$
- \frac{5728}{9}\,\zeta_3 - 6\Bigg) + C_F^2 n_f \mathcal{D}_1\Bigg(\frac{2048}{9}\,\zeta_2 + 1280\,\zeta_3 + \frac{4288}{9}\Bigg) + C_F^2 n_f \mathcal{D}_2\Bigg(\frac{544}{9}
$$

$$
- \frac{2048}{3}\,\zeta_2\Bigg) + C_F^2 n_f \mathcal{D}_3\Bigg(- \frac{2560}{9}\Bigg) + C_F^2 n_f \mathcal{D}_4\Bigg(\frac{1280}{9}\Bigg) + C_F n_f^2 \mathcal{D}_0\Bigg(\frac{640}{27}\,\zeta_2
$$

$$
+ \frac{320}{27}\,\zeta_3 - \frac{3712}{729}\Bigg) + C_F n_f^2 \mathcal{D}_1\Bigg(\frac{1600}{81} - \frac{256}{9}\,\zeta_2\Bigg) - C_F n_f^2 \mathcal{D}_2 \frac{640}{27}
$$

$$
+ C_F n_f^2 \mathcal{D}_3\Bigg(\frac{256}{27}\Bigg) \tag{2.8}
$$

where, ζ_i are the Riemann zeta functions, $C_F = (N^2-1)/2N, C_A = N$ are the casimirs for $SU(N)$ gauge theory, n_f is the number of active quark flavours and $n_{f,v}$ is the effective number of flavours resiling from some special class of diagrams at three loop [31].

3 Numerical Results

E_{CM}	LO	NLO_{SV}	NLO	$NNLO_{SV}$	NNLO	N^3LO_{SV}
7	0.2415	0.2987	0.3183	0.3203	0.3257	0.3254
8	0.2977	0.3667	0.3901	0.3932	0.3993	0.3991
13	0.6120	0.7363	0.7788	0.7900	0.7975	0.7970
14	0.6801	0.8150	0.8604	0.8730	0.8808	0.8807

Table 1. DY like contributions (in pb) for different center of mass energies (TeV) at LHC with MSTW2008 PDFs. The factorization and renormalization scales are set to $\mu_F = \mu_R = Q$.

In what follows we present the numerical results for associated production of the Higgs boson with vector boson at the LHC for the proton-proton center of mass energies of $7, 8, 13$ and 14 TeV. The hadronic cross sections are obtained by folding the respective LO, NLO and NNLO partonic cross sections with the parton distribution functions (PDFs) measured at the same order in the perturbation theory and by using the corresponding strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu_R)$. For N^{3}LO threshold corrections, however, we use NNLO PDFs and the $\alpha_s(\mu_R)$ obtained from the $4-loop$ β function. Unless mentioned otherwise, we use MSTW2008 PDFs for our results. Except for the scale uncertainties, both the renormalization and the factorization scales are set to $\mu_R = \mu_F = Q$, where $Q^2 = (p_V + p_H)^2$ is the invariant mass of the gauge boson and the Higgs boson.

For the numerical implementation of the N^{3}LO threshold corrections, we have included the additional subroutines for the contributions coming from the $\delta(1-z)$ term and the logarithmic contributions $\mathcal{D}_k$, in the code `vh@nnlo` in a similar fashion as at the 2-loop level. This easily enables one to compute the N^{3}LO threshold corrections using the PDFs supplied by `LHAPDF` and the strong coupling constant as in the code `vh@nnlo`.

First, we present the DY type contributions to the ZH associated production up to N^{3}LO in QCD for different LHC energies in table 1. Here $NLO_{SV} = LO + a_s \Delta_{q\bar{q}}^{SV,(1)}$, $NNLO_{SV} = NLO + a_s^2 \Delta_{q\bar{q}}^{SV,(2)}$ and $N^3LO_{SV} = NNLO + a_s^3 \Delta_{q\bar{q}}^{SV,(3)}$. We observe that the soft plus virtual contributions make up to 75% of the exact QCD correction at NLO level while they are about 60% at NNLO level, showing the significant contribution of the large logarithms that arise in the threshold limit. The first and second order SV corrections are found to be positive and enhance the cross sections while the third order one is found to be negative for all different energies. We also observe here that at 3-loop level the $\delta(1-z)$ term can contribute as much as the $\mathcal{D}_k$ terms in magnitude. It can be noted that the impact of the QCD corrections increases with the decrease in the proton-proton collision energy.

Next, we study the scale uncertainties by varying the arbitrary factorization and renormalization scales. In fig.1, we show the scale dependence of the DY like cross sections up to N^3LO_{SV} by varying the scales in the range $0.1 < \mu/Q < 10.0$, where $\mu = \mu_R = \mu_F$. The scale uncertainties are found to decrease with the order in the perturbation theory. Here, at N^{3}LO only the soft plus virtual corrections are available. However, with the availability



Figure 1. Scale uncertainties of DY type cross sections for LHC13 by varying the factorization and renormalization scales in the range $0.1 < \mu/Q < 10.0$, where $\mu = \mu_F = \mu_R$.



Figure 2. Scale uncertainties of DY type cross sections for LHC13. In the left panel, we show the renormalization scale uncertainty for $0.1 < \mu_R/Q < 10.0$ keeping $\mu_F = Q$ fixed. In the right panel, we show the factorization scale uncertainty for the similar range variation as μ_R.

of the respective hard functions and the PDFs, the scale uncertainty is expected to improve further.

In the right panel of fig.2, we show only the factorization scale dependence of the DY like cross sections by varying μ_F in the range $0.1 < \mu_F/Q < 10.0$ and keeping $\mu_R = Q$ fixed. The observations are similar to those found in fig.1. In the left panel of fig.2, we

E_{CM}	LO	NLO	NNLO	N^3LO_{SV}
7	0.2292	0.3021	0.3230	0.3227
8	0.2826	0.3702	0.3984	0.3982
13	0.5797	0.7377	0.8146	0.8141
14	0.6440	0.8148	0.9037	0.9035

Table 2. Total cross sections (in pb) for different center of mass energies (in TeV) at LHC.

PDFs	LO	NLO	NNLO	N^3LO_{SV}
MSTW2008	0.5797	0.7377	0.8146	0.8141
ABM11	–	0.7716	0.8308	0.8305
NNPDF	0.6199	0.7234	0.7997	0.7994
CT10	0.6307	0.7312	0.8132	0.8128

Table 3. Total cross sections (in pb) for different PDFs at 13 TeV LHC.

show the renormalization scale dependence by varying it in the range $0.1 < \mu_R/Q < 10.0$ and keeping $\mu_F = Q$ fixed. Here the N^3LO scale uncertainties are found to be more stable than the lower order results as expected.

Apart from the DY like contributions, there will also be other subprocess contributions such as $gg \to ZH$ via quark loops, $q\bar{q} \to ZH$ via *top*-loops at NNLO level. Moreover, electroweak corrections for this process are already available and they do not depend on the QCD parameters. For consistency, we include in our analysis all these contributions as in [15, 53, 61] and the corresponding third order result is given by

$$\sigma^{\rm tot}_{N^3LO} = \sigma^{DY}_{N^3LO}\,(1+\delta_{EW}) + \sigma^{\rm gg} + \sigma^{\rm top} \tag{3.1}$$

In table 2, we present the total cross sections up to N^3LO in QCD for different center of mass energies. For LHC7 and LHC8, the gluon initiated subprocess contributions are about 5% of DY type at NNLO while the EW corrections are of the same size but with opposite sign. Consequently, the total NNLO cross sections here are almost the same as those of pure DY contributions. However, for LHC13 and LHC14, the gluon initiates subprocess contributions rises to about 9% making the total cross sections larger than those of DY type. In all these cases, the third order QCD corrections are about 0.1% but negative. Finally in table 3, we present the total cross sections up to N^3LO for LHC13 for different parton distribution functions, namely, ABM11, CT10, NNPDFs and MSTW2008 PDFs.

4 Conclusion

In this work we have computed the N^3LO QCD threshold corrections to the associated production of the Higgs with vector boson using the inclusive third order DY corrections, which became available very recently. With both the threshold logarithms $\mathcal{D}_k$ and the $\delta(1-$

z) term, these results are expected to augment the previously available exact NNLO results for this process. For the numerical computation, we have incorporated these corrections in the code `vh@nnlo` to obtain the state of the art results. For our predictions, we have restricted ourselves only to the ZH associated production and similar predictions can be made for WH as well. We have also estimated the theory uncertainties from the factorization and renormalization scales and from the choice of the parton distribution functions. While the hard part at the N^{3}LO level is yet to be computed, we believe that these results, providing the first predictions in this direction towards the computation of the full N^{3}LO for Higgs-Strahlung processes, will be useful for the phenomenological studies related to Higgs Physics at LHC.

Acknowledgement

M.C.K, M.K.M and VR would like to thank Stefano Frixione for suggesting this project and useful discussions. We also would like to thank Robert V. Harlander for his help with the code `vh@nnlo`. M.K.M thanks IMSc for providing hospitality, where this work has been carried out. We thank T. Ahmed and N. Rana for discussions. The work of M.K.M has been partially supported by funding from Regional Center for Accelerator-based Particle Physics (RECAPP), Department of Atomic Energy, Govt. of India.

References

[1] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 1–29, arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex].

[2] **CMS Collaboration** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys.Lett.* **B716** (2012) 30–61, arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex].

[3] H. Georgi, S. Glashow, M. Machacek, and D. V. Nanopoulos, *Higgs Bosons from Two Gluon Annihilation in Proton Proton Collisions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **40** (1978) 692.

[4] A. Djouadi, M. Spira, and P. Zerwas, *Production of Higgs bosons in proton colliders: QCD corrections*, *Phys.Lett.* **B264** (1991) 440–446.

[5] S. Dawson, *Radiative corrections to Higgs boson production*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B359** (1991) 283–300.

[6] M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz, and P. Zerwas, *Higgs boson production at the LHC*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B453** (1995) 17–82, arXiv:hep-ph/9504378 [hep-ph].

[7] S. Catani, D. de Florian, and M. Grazzini, *Higgs production in hadron collisions: Soft and virtual QCD corrections at NNLO*, *JHEP* **0105** (2001) 025, arXiv:hep-ph/0102227 [hep-ph].

[8] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, *Soft and virtual corrections to proton proton $\to H + x$ at NNLO*, *Phys.Rev.* **D64** (2001) 013015, arXiv:hep-ph/0102241 [hep-ph].

[9] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, *Next-to-next-to-leading order Higgs production at hadron colliders*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **88** (2002) 201801, arXiv:hep-ph/0201206 [hep-ph].

[10] C. Anastasiou and K. Melnikov, *Higgs boson production at hadron colliders in NNLO QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B646** (2002) 220–256, arXiv:hep-ph/0207004 [hep-ph].

[11] V. Ravindran, J. Smith, and W. L. van Neerven, *NNLO corrections to the total cross-section for Higgs boson production in hadron hadron collisions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B665** (2003) 325–366, arXiv:hep-ph/0302135 [hep-ph].

[12] T. Han, G. Valencia, and S. Willenbrock, *Structure function approach to vector boson scattering in p p collisions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **69** (1992) 3274–3277, arXiv:hep-ph/9206246 [hep-ph].

[13] P. Bolzoni, F. Maltoni, S.-O. Moch, and M. Zaro, *Higgs production via vector-boson fusion at NNLO in QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **105** (2010) 011801, arXiv:1003.4451 [hep-ph].

[14] T. Han and S. Willenbrock, *QCD correction to the p p $\to$ W H and Z H total cross-sections*, *Phys.Lett.* **B273** (1991) 167–172.

[15] O. Brein, A. Djouadi, and R. Harlander, *NNLO QCD corrections to the Higgs-strahlung processes at hadron colliders*, *Phys.Lett.* **B579** (2004) 149–156, arXiv:hep-ph/0307206 [hep-ph].

[16] D. A. Dicus and S. Willenbrock, *Higgs Boson Production from Heavy Quark Fusion*, *Phys.Rev.* **D39** (1989) 751.

[17] D. Dicus, T. Stelzer, Z. Sullivan, and S. Willenbrock, *Higgs boson production in association with bottom quarks at next-to-leading order*, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 094016, arXiv:hep-ph/9811492 [hep-ph].

[18] F. Maltoni, Z. Sullivan, and S. Willenbrock, *Higgs-boson production via bottom-quark fusion*, *Phys.Rev.* **D67** (2003) 093005, arXiv:hep-ph/0301033 [hep-ph].

[19] F. I. Olness and W.-K. Tung, *When Is a Heavy Quark Not a Parton? Charged Higgs Production and Heavy Quark Mass Effects in the QCD Based Parton Model*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B308** (1988) 813.

[20] J. Gunion, H. Haber, F. Paige, W.-K. Tung, and S. Willenbrock, *Neutral and Charged Higgs Detection: Heavy Quark Fusion, Top Quark Mass Dependence and Rare Decays*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B294** (1987) 621.

[21] R. V. Harlander and W. B. Kilgore, *Higgs boson production in bottom quark fusion at next-to-next-to leading order*, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 013001, arXiv:hep-ph/0304035 [hep-ph].

[22] S. Moch and A. Vogt, *Higher-order soft corrections to lepton pair and Higgs boson production*, *Phys.Lett.* **B631** (2005) 48–57, arXiv:hep-ph/0508265 [hep-ph].

[23] E. Laenen and L. Magnea, *Threshold resummation for electroweak annihilation from DIS data*, *Phys.Lett.* **B632** (2006) 270–276, arXiv:hep-ph/0508284 [hep-ph].

[24] A. Idilbi, X.-d. Ji, J.-P. Ma, and F. Yuan, *Threshold resummation for Higgs production in effective field theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 077501, arXiv:hep-ph/0509294 [hep-ph].

[25] V. Ravindran, *On Sudakov and soft resummations in QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B746** (2006) 58–76, arXiv:hep-ph/0512249 [hep-ph].

[26] V. Ravindran, *Higher-order threshold effects to inclusive processes in QCD*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B752** (2006) 173–196, arXiv:hep-ph/0603041 [hep-ph].

[27] S. Moch, J. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt, *The Quark form-factor at higher orders*, *JHEP* **0508** (2005) 049, arXiv:hep-ph/0507039 [hep-ph].

[28] S. Moch, J. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt, *Three-loop results for quark and gluon form-factors*, *Phys.Lett.* **B625** (2005) 245–252, arXiv:hep-ph/0508055 [hep-ph].

[29] T. Gehrmann, T. Huber, and D. Maitre, *Two-loop quark and gluon form-factors in dimensional regularisation*, *Phys.Lett.* **B622** (2005) 295–302, arXiv:hep-ph/0507061 [hep-ph].

[30] P. Baikov, K. Chetyrkin, A. Smirnov, V. Smirnov, and M. Steinhauser, *Quark and gluon form factors to three loops*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102** (2009) 212002, arXiv:0902.3519 [hep-ph].

[31] T. Gehrmann, E. Glover, T. Huber, N. Ikizlerli, and C. Studerus, *Calculation of the quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD*, *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 094, arXiv:1004.3653 [hep-ph].

[32] S. Moch, J. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt, *The Three loop splitting functions in QCD: The Nonsinglet case*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B688** (2004) 101–134, arXiv:hep-ph/0403192 [hep-ph].

[33] K. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl, and M. Steinhauser, *Decoupling relations to O (alpha-s**3) and their connection to low-energy theorems*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B510** (1998) 61–87, arXiv:hep-ph/9708255 [hep-ph].

[34] D. de Florian and J. Mazzitelli, *A next-to-next-to-leading order calculation of soft-virtual cross sections*, *JHEP* **1212** (2012) 088, arXiv:1209.0673 [hep-ph].

[35] W. B. Kilgore, *One-Loop Single-Real-Emission Contributions to $pp \to H + X$ at Next-to-Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order*, *Phys.Rev.* **D89** (2014) 073008, arXiv:1312.1296 [hep-ph].

[36] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog, and B. Mistlberger, *Real-virtual contributions to the inclusive Higgs cross-section at N^3LO*, *JHEP* **1312** (2013) 088, arXiv:1311.1425 [hep-ph].

[37] C. Duhr, T. Gehrmann, and M. Jaquier, *Two-loop splitting amplitudes and the single-real contribution to inclusive Higgs production at N3LO*, arXiv:1411.3587 [hep-ph].

[38] F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, *Real-Virtual-Virtual contributions to the inclusive Higgs cross section at N3LO*, arXiv:1411.3586 [hep-ph].

[39] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, T. Gehrmann, *et al.*, *Higgs boson gluonfusion production at threshold in N^3LO QCD*, *Phys.Lett.* **B737** (2014) 325–328, arXiv:1403.4616 [hep-ph].

[40] T. Ahmed, M. Mahakhud, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran, *Drell-Yan production at threshold in N^3LO QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** (2014) 112002, arXiv:1404.0366 [hep-ph].

[41] T. Ahmed, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran, *Higgs boson production through $b\bar{b}$ annihilation at threshold in N^3LO QCD*, *JHEP* **1410** (2014) 139, arXiv:1408.0787 [hep-ph].

[42] S. Catani, L. Cieri, D. de Florian, G. Ferrera, and M. Grazzini, *Threshold resummation at N^3LL accuracy and soft-virtual cross sections at N^3LO*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B888** (2014) 75–91, arXiv:1405.4827 [hep-ph].

[43] T. Ahmed, M. Mandal, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran, *Rapidity Distributions in Drell-Yan and Higgs Productions at Threshold to Third Order in QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** (2014) 212003, arXiv:1404.6504 [hep-ph].

[44] T. Ahmed, M. Mandal, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran, *Higgs Rapidity Distribution in $b\bar{b}$ Annihilation at Threshold in N^3LO QCD*, arXiv:1411.5301 [hep-ph].

[45] N. Lo Presti, A. Almasy, and A. Vogt, *Leading large-x logarithms of the quarkgluon contributions to inclusive Higgs-boson and lepton-pair production*, *Phys.Lett.* **B737** (2014) 120–123, arXiv:1407.1553 [hep-ph].

[46] D. de Florian, J. Mazzitelli, S. Moch, and A. Vogt, *Approximate N^3LO Higgs-boson production cross section using physical-kernel constraints*, *JHEP* **1410** (2014) 176, arXiv:1408.6277 [hep-ph].

[47] C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, E. Furlan, T. Gehrmann, *et al.*, *Higgs boson gluon-fusion production beyond threshold in N3LO QCD*, arXiv:1411.3584 [hep-ph].

[48] G. Altarelli, R. K. Ellis, and G. Martinelli, *Leptoproduction and Drell-Yan Processes Beyond the Leading Approximation in Chromodynamics*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B143** (1978) 521.

[49] R. Hamberg, W. van Neerven, and T. Matsuura, *A Complete calculation of the order $\alpha - s^2$ correction to the Drell-Yan K factor*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B359** (1991) 343–405.

[50] H. Baer, B. Bailey, and J. Owens, *O (alpha-s) Monte Carlo approach to W + Higgs associated production at hadron supercolliders*, *Phys.Rev.* **D47** (1993) 2730–2734.

[51] J. Ohnemus and W. J. Stirling, *Order alpha-s corrections to the differential cross-section for the W H intermediate mass Higgs signal*, *Phys.Rev.* **D47** (1993) 2722–2729.

[52] S. Mrenna and C. Yuan, *Effects of QCD resummation on W+ h and t anti-b production at the Tevatron*, *Phys.Lett.* **B416** (1998) 200–207, arXiv:hep-ph/9703224 [hep-ph].

[53] O. Brein, R. Harlander, M. Wiesemann, and T. Zirke, *Top-Quark Mediated Effects in Hadronic Higgs-Strahlung*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 1868, arXiv:1111.0761 [hep-ph].

[54] B. A. Kniehl, *Associated Production of Higgs and Z Bosons From Gluon Fusion in Hadron Collisions*, *Phys.Rev.* **D42** (1990) 2253–2258.

[55] G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini, and F. Tramontano, *Associated ZH production at hadron colliders: the fully differential NNLO QCD calculation*, arXiv:1407.4747 [hep-ph].

[56] G. Ferrera, M. Grazzini, and F. Tramontano, *Associated WH production at hadron colliders: a fully exclusive QCD calculation at NNLO*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **107** (2011) 152003, arXiv:1107.1164 [hep-ph].

[57] L. Altenkamp, S. Dittmaier, R. V. Harlander, H. Rzehak, and T. J. Zirke, *Gluon-induced Higgs-strahlung at next-to-leading order QCD*, *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 078, arXiv:1211.5015 [hep-ph].

[58] R. V. Harlander, A. Kulesza, V. Theeuwes, and T. Zirke, *Soft gluon resummation for gluon-induced Higgs Strahlung*, *JHEP* **1411** (2014) 082, arXiv:1410.0217 [hep-ph].

[59] O. Brein, R. V. Harlander, and T. J. Zirke, *vh@nnlo - Higgs Strahlung at hadron colliders*, *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **184** (2013) 998–1003, arXiv:1210.5347 [hep-ph].

[60] M. Ciccolini, S. Dittmaier, and M. Kramer, *Electroweak radiative corrections to associated WH and ZH production at hadron colliders*, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 073003, arXiv:hep-ph/0306234 [hep-ph].

[61] O. Brein, M. Ciccolini, S. Dittmaier, A. Djouadi, R. Harlander, *et al.*, *Precision calculations for associated WH and ZH production at hadron colliders*, arXiv:hep-ph/0402003 [hep-ph].

[62] Y. Li, A. von Manteuffel, R. M. Schabinger, and H. X. Zhu, *N^3LO Higgs and Drell-Yan production at threshold: the one-loop two-emission contribution*, *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014) 053006, arXiv:1404.5839 [hep-ph].